

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERÇE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/730,436	12/08/2003	Forrest A. Jehlik	GP-303620	2351	
7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER		
KATHRYN A MARRA			WOLFE JR, WILLIS RAY		
General Motors	s Corporation ail Code 482-C23-B21		ART UNIT PAPER NUMI		
P.O. Box 300	all Code 402-C23-D21		3747		
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	).	Applicant(s)					
	10/730,436		JEHLIK ET AL.	VV V				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	)				
	Willis R. Wolfe,		3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the d	correspondence ac	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, ho reply within the statutory n od will apply and will expi tute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered time the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
	his action is non-f							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	drawn from consid		ya*					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) () of the drawing(s) be he rection is required if	eld in abeyance. Se the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 (	DFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been re ents have been re priority documents reau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applica have been receiv 7.2(a)).	tion No ved in this Nationa	al Stage				
				•				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) 3/08) 5)	Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail Notice of Informal Other:	Date	TO-152)				

Art Unit: 3747

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martelli et al in view of Pischinger et al. Martelli et al discloses the claimed invention except for the engine being a diesel engine with the closing timing of the exhaust valve and the retarded opening of the intake valve. Pischinger et al teaches that it is known to provide the exhaust valve closing in advance of a retarded opening of the intake valve as shown in Figure 8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the valve timing of

Art Unit: 3747

Martelli et al by providing an exhaust valve closing in advance of a retarded opening of the intake valve as taught by Pischinger et al in order to control the amount of residual exhaust gas. Furthermore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the engine of Martelli et al be a diesel engine since applicant has not disclosed that the variable valve timing could only be used on a diesel engine and it appears that the variable valve timing system of Martelli et al would perform equally well on a diesel engine.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references of Russ et al, Abo et al, Geiser and Kőseki et al are cited to show valve timing for regulating internal EGR.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,436

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday (4:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry C. Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

WRW September 30, 2004